

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,359 08/22/2003	Steve D. Schmeichel	758.1452USU1	8621
7590 04/06/200	6	EXAM	INER
Merchant & Gould P.C. P.O. Box 2903		TRAN, DIEM T	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Minneapolis, MN 55402-0903		3748	TATER NOMBER
		DATE MAILED: 04/06/2000	,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,359	SCHMEICHEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Diem Tran	3748
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on RCE	<u> filed on 2/9/06</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 20,21,23 and 24 is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>20,21,23,24</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority document 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	·	ceived in this National Stage
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not rec	ceivea.
Attachment(s)		·
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/646,359

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 2/9/06. As instructed in RCE, an amendment filed on 1/25/06 has been entered. In the amendment, claim 20 has been amended, claims 1-19, 22 have been canceled and claims 23, 24 have been added. Overall, claims 20, 21, 23, 24 are pending in this application.

Double Patenting

Claims 20, 21, 23, 24 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 24, 25, 27, 28 of copending Application No. 10/704,219. Although the conflicting claims 20, 23, 24, 27 are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims 20, 23 in the instant application are broader in scope than claims 24, 27 in the above copending application. The sole difference is in the claims 20, 23 of the instant application, Applicants are claiming "a catalytic converter" vs. "at least one of a catalytic converter, a diesel particulate filter..." in claims 24, 27 of the copending application. Accordingly, the scope of claims 20, 23 in the instant application are broader than claims 24, 27 of the copending application. Dependent claims 21, 24 in the instant application are identical with claims 25, 28 of the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible

Application/Control Number: 10/646,359

Art Unit: 3748

harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Diem Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-4866. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Friday from 8:30 a.m.- 5:00p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (571) 272-4859. The fax number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for

Art Unit: 3748

unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Diem Tran

Patent Examiner

Art unit 3748

DT April 3, 2006

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700